

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,525	10/23/2003	Masahiro Kamiya	117605	6376
25944 OLIFF & BER	25944 7590 03/06/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			EKPO, NNENNA NGOZI	
ALEXANDRI	ANDRIA, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/690,525	KAMIYA, MASAHIRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		NNENNA N. EKPO	2623			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 December 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/690,525 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement

1. This Office Action is responsive to the remarks filed on December 20, 2007.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 12/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (US Patent Number 6,437,836) in view of Nagasaka et al. (JP2000370120) or (JP2000370121) or (JP000381519), a translation of (US Publication Number 2004/0085352) which is relied upon for the claim rejections.

Regarding **claim 1**, Huang et al. discloses an electronic program guide display control apparatus for displaying a part of an electronic program guide on a display screen (see fig 5). Huang et al. fails to disclose the claimed specification position detection unit and scroll control unit.

Nagasaka et al. teaches scrolling the display of a display area in response to specification position on the display screen (see fig 46, paragraphs 0147 and 0405) the electronic program guide display control apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2623

Nagasaka et al. discloses a specification position detection unit for detecting a specification position on the display screen (see paragraph 0029); and

a scroll control unit for scrolling the display of the display area based on a positional relation between the specification position detected by the specification position detection unit and a predetermined position on the display screen (see paragraph 0362 and 0404),

the screen control unit changes a scroll amount based on the positional relation (predetermined direction) when the display is scrolled (see paragraph 0362-0364, when using the finger to move the screen, the screen is moved to a predetermined direction which changes the screen amount).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang et al.'s invention in view Nagasaka et al. for the advantage of providing a screen operating device with good operability.

Regarding **claim 2**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus (see fig 5).

Nagasaka et al. discloses the apparatus wherein the specification position detection unit detects a position on the display screen pressed by a user with the user's finger as the specification position (see abstract, lines 1-5 and paragraphs 0029 and 0033).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding **claim 3**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (*see claim 2*). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus (see fig 5).

Nagasaka et al. discloses the apparatus wherein the scroll control unit scrolls the display of the display area based on the positional relationship between the specification position detected by the specification position detection unit and a center position of the display screen (see paragraphs 0362-0363).

Regarding **claim 4**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus (see fig 5).

Nagasaka et al. discloses the apparatus wherein the scroll control unit scrolls the display of the display area based on a direction from the center position to the specification position and at least one of a distance from the center position to the specification position and specification pressure at the specification position (see paragraphs 0011, 0018 and 0362).

Regarding **claim 6**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (*see claim 1*). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus further comprising: a broadcast-service-unit regulation unit for regulating a move distance in broadcast service units (see fig 5 (502), column 3, lines 27-29 and column 5, lines 62-63).

Art Unit: 2623

Nagasaka et al. discloses regulating a move distance of the scrolling by the scroll control unit (see paragraph 0362).

Regarding **claim 7**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (*see claim 1*). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus further comprising: a time-unit regulation unit for regulating a move distance in predetermined time units (see fig 5 (505) and column 5, lines 54-63).

Nagasaka et al. discloses regulating a move distance of the scrolling by the scroll control unit (see paragraph 0362).

Regarding **claim 8**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (*see claim 1*). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus further comprising: a broadcast-service-unit regulation unit for regulating a move distance in broadcast service units (see fig 5 (503), column 3, lines 27-29 and column 5, lines 62-63).

Nagasaka et al. discloses regulating a move distance of the scrolling by the scroll control unit (see paragraph 0362).

Regarding **claim 9**, Huang et al. discloses an electronic program guide display control method comprising (see fig 5):

Art Unit: 2623

displaying a part of an electronic program guide on a display screen (see fig 5, column 4, lines 55-56 and column 8, lines 32-35) and scrolling a display area of the electronic program guide (see fig 5 (506 and 507) and column 8, lines 38-44). However, Huang et al. fail to specifically disclose detecting a specification position on the display screen and scrolling based on a positional relationship between the specification position detected and a predetermined position on the display screen.

Nagasaka et al. discloses detecting a specification position on the display screen (see paragraph 0029), and scrolling based on a positional relationship between the specification position detected and a predetermined position on the display screen (see paragraph 0362 and 0404),

changing a scroll amount based on the positional relation (predetermined direction) when the display area is scrolled (see paragraph 0362-0364, when using the finger to move the screen, the screen is moved to a predetermined direction which changes the screen amount).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang et al.'s invention with the above mentioned limitation as taught by Nagasaka et al. for the advantage of easily manipulating the display screen and providing a screen operating device with good operability.

Claim 10 is directed toward embody the method of claim 9 in "computer readable medium". It would have been obvious to embody the procedures of Huang et al. and

Art Unit: 2623

Nagasaka et al. as discussed with respect to claim 9 in a "computer readable medium" in order that the instructions could be automatically performed by a processor.

Regarding **claim 11**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (*see claim 1*). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus (see fig 5, col. 4, lines 55-56, col. 8, lines 32-35).

Nagasaka et al. discloses wherein the positional relationship includes a distance (122, 124 etc) from the predetermined position (152) to the specification position (150) (see fig 3 and paragraphs 0177-0185, when the touch screen is moved from one location to the other, a distance is made in relations from the specification position to the predetermined position).

5. **Claim 5** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (US Patent Number 6,437,836) as applied to *claim 4* above, and further in view of Nagasaka et al. (US Publication Number 2004/0085352) and Nakajima et al. (US Patent Number 7,061,648).

Regarding **claim 5**, Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al. discloses everything claimed as applied above (*see claim 4*). Huang et al. discloses the electronic program guide display control apparatus (see fig 5).

Nagasaka et al. discloses the specification position detected by the specification position detection unit (see paragraph 0029). However, Nagasaka et al. and Huang et al. fail to specifically disclose an end portion of the display screen wherein the scroll

Art Unit: 2623

control unit displays content of an end portion positioned in a direction from the center position to the specification position on the display screen.

Nakajima et al. discloses an end portion of the display screen wherein the scroll control unit displays content of an end portion positioned in a direction from the center position to the specification position on the display screen (see fig 14 and column 15, lines 60-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang et al. and Nagasaka et al.'s invention with the above mentioned limitation as taught by Nakajima et al. in order to visibly notify the viewer when the screen session has ended.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/690,525

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nnenna N. Ekpo whose telephone number is 571-270-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM-5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NNE/nne February 26, 2008.

BRIAN PENDLETON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER